Improving coreference resolution with automatically predicted prosodic information
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Coreference resolution

Grouping references to the same discourse entities together

President Clinton has signed into law a bill allowing US exports of food and medicine to Cuba. Nevertheless, Mr. Clinton says he is not satisfied with the measure. The new law bars the US government and US banks from providing funds for such exports at the insistence of Cuba’s congressional critics. It also prevents Mr. Clinton or his successor from easing restrictions on travel to the Communist country.
Coreference resolution

• Highly active NLP area
• Task: partition NPs in a document into coreference chains
• Different approaches: most are statistical
• Text-based features:
  part-of-speech, syntactic parses, morphological information
• Systems trained on written text do not perform as well on spoken text
Why use prosody for coreference resolution?

John has an old cottage. Last year he reconstructed the shed.  

coreferent?
Why prosodic prominence matters

John has an old cottage. Last year he reconstructed the SHED.

⇒ the cottage and the shed do not corefer
Why prosodic prominence matters

John has an old cottage.
Last year he recon\textsc{Structured} the shed.

\[ \Rightarrow \text{the cottage and the shed corefer} \]
Motivation

- Prosody can give clues where transcript is ambiguous
- Accentuation can distinguish given and new information
- Pilot study for German
  - shown that prosodic information can help coreference resolution
  - based on manually annotated pitch accents and boundary tones
  - added prosodic information to a set of text-based, predicted features
- Practical applications would rely on automatically predicted prosodic information
  → focus of this work

Rösiger and Riester 2015
Prosodic features for coreference resolution

- We use pitch accents and phrase boundaries
- Phrase boundaries are used to derive the nuclear accent
  - last accent in intonation phrase
  - perceived as most prominent
- Two binary features used in the resolver:
  - pitch accent presence
  - nuclear accent presence
Prosodic events: ToBI example

plotting it’s future agenda won’t be an easy job For
Accent type and NP length

• **Pitch accents** are helpful clues for short NPs
  → make it more likely for the NP to contain new information
  • *the SHED, President CLINTON, ...*

• **Nuclear accents** are helpful for long NPs
  → they almost always have at least one pitch accent
  • *a BILL allowing US EXPORTS of food and medicine to CUBA*
Data

- DIRNDL anaphora corpus  
  Eckart et al. 2012, Björkelund et al. 2014
- consists of 4.5 hours of German radio news
- 13 male and 7 female speakers
- manually annotated for coreference and prosodic events
- we use the official training, dev and test set splitting
Coreference resolver

- Data-driven coreference resolver:
  - IMS HotCoref DE
    - state-of-the-art resolver for German
    - structured perceptron that models coreference in a document as a directed rooted tree, following Björkelund and Kuhn 2014
  - standard features: string-matching, part-of-speech, constituent trees, morphological information, etc.

- Performance is evaluated with the CoNLL score

Goal: completely automatic preprocessing
All features for the coreference resolver were obtained using automatic NLP methods
CNN-based prosodic event detection

Stehwien and Vu 2017

- supervised learning task: each word is labelled as carrying a prosodic event or not
- feature matrix: frame-based representation of audio signal
- 2 convolution layers
- max pooling finds most salient features
- resulting feature maps concatenated to one feature vector
- softmax layer: 2 units for binary classification
Method

1. Automatic extraction of text-based features

2. Prosodic event detector is applied to the DIRNDL corpus to obtain pitch accents and phrase boundaries (separately)
   - Model pre-trained on Boston University Radio News Corpus
     Ostendorf et al. 1995
   - Prediction accuracy on DIRNDL:
     - Pitch accents: 81.9%
     - Phrase boundaries: 85.5%

3. Coreference resolver is trained using the training and development split of DIRNDL

4. Performance is evaluated on the DIRNDL test set
Experimental setup

- Three settings: coreference resolver ...
  - (a) ... trained and tested using manual prosodic labels (short gold),
  - (b) ... trained on manual prosodic information, but tested on automatic labels (short gold/auto) and
  - (c) ... trained and tested using automatically predicted prosodic labels (short auto).

- Two versions:
  - short NPs: feature only for NPs of length 3 or less
  - all NPs: feature used on all NPs
  ⇒ evaluation always on all NPs
## Results

**Pitch accent presence:**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>46.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Accent</td>
<td></td>
<td>short NPs</td>
<td>all NPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Pitch accent presence gold</td>
<td>53.99</td>
<td>49.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Pitch accent presence gold/auto</td>
<td>52.63</td>
<td>50.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Pitch accent presence auto</td>
<td>49.13</td>
<td>49.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nuclear accent presence:**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>46.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Accent</td>
<td></td>
<td>short NPs</td>
<td>all NPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Nuclear accent presence gold</td>
<td>48.63</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Nuclear accent presence gold/auto</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Nuclear accent presence auto</td>
<td>48.01</td>
<td>50.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- significant improvement in all settings\(^1\)
- performance of the three settings: \(gold > gold/auto > auto\)

\(^1\)Wilcoxon signed rank test, \(p<0.01\)
Effect of pitch accent and nuclear accent presence

- Pitch accent presence:
  - for long NPs is not helpful: almost always accented
  - including them (all NP) limits the feature’s informativity
  - on short NPs, a pitch accent makes it more likely for the NP to contain new information
    → best score in short NP setting
  - best experimental result (ratio short:long NPs = 3:1)

- Nuclear accent presence:
  - only a few short NPs have a nuclear accent
  - feature is less helpful in the short NP setting
  - more meaningful for long NPs
    → best score in all NP setting
EXPERTEN der Großen KOALITION haben sich auf ein Niedriglohn-Konzept VERSTÄNDIGT. Die strittigen Themen sollten bei der nächsten Spitzenrunde der Koalition ANGESPROCHEN werden.

EN: Experts within the the grand coalition have agreed on a strategy to address [problems associated with] low income. At the next meeting, the coalition will talk about the controversial issues.
Conclusion and future work

- Observations of pilot study confirmed
- Prosodic information has a positive effect even when predicted by a system (despite lower quality of the prosodic annotations)
- Future work:
  - include the available lexicosyntactic information for automatic prosodic labelling
  - fully automatic system based on ASR output
Thank you!
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